UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,341	04/15/2005	Dieter Fischer	ZAHFRI P734US	8220
20210 7590 01/24/2007 DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
112 PLEASAN	IT STREET		LEWIS, TISHA D	
CONCORD, NH 03301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3681	
·				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/531,341	FISCHER, DIETER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TISHA D. LEWIS	3681			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	s action is non-final.  Ince except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  tion.  wn from consideration.  or election requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination	)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The following is a first action on the merits of application serial no. 10/531,341 filed on April 15, 2005.

## **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on April 15, 2005 has been considered.

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

-On page 3, line 11 of paragraph [021] "lever" has been misspelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 10029497A1 in view of Newbigging ('893). DE discloses an electro-pneumatic switching unit having one splitter group transmission (12) pneumatically switched via a first set of valves (36, 38), one range change group transmission (14) pneumatically

Application/Control Number: 10/531,341

Art Unit: 3681

switched via a second set of valves (44, 46) which can be manually preselected by a vehicle driver on a gear lever (16), one locking device (54, 56) for mechanically preventing the manually switching of illegal speed increasing ratios and one device (30, 42, 64) for preventing manual shifts wherein the locking device (Figure 6) is pneumatically connected to the first valve (44) and provides a slow switching position.

DE does not disclose the device (30, 42 and 64) preventing pneumatic switching of the transmission to inadmissible ratios.

Newbigging discloses a transmission wherein a blocking arrangement is used to prevent pneumatic downshift switching (column 7, line 45) of the range transmission.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide DE with a blocking arrangement in view of Newbigging to provide a backup to the locking device of DE to avoid damaging engine and clutch at high speeds.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

-Walker ('787) and Mason et al ('473).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TISHA D. LEWIS whose telephone number is 571-272-7093. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM TO 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CHARLES A. MARMOR can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/531,341

Art Unit: 3681

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tdl January 21, 2007 A LEWIS PEXAMINE!

Page 4